

## REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application in light of the preceding amendments and the subsequent remarks.

### Claims Status

Claims 1, 35, 38 and 45 have been amended. Claims 2-3, 5, 7, 9, 11-13, 15-34, 36-37 and 39-44 remain cancelled, without prejudice. No new claims have been added. Therefore, claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 10, 14, 35, 38 and 45-49 remain pending for examination.

### 35 U.S.C. § 101 Rejection

Claim 35 is directed to a computer readable medium storing processor executable instructions that is not limited to a tangible, and thus, statutory medium.

Claim 35 has been amended. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejection of claim 35 and its dependent claims.

### 35 U.S.C. § 103 Rejection

Claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 10, 14, 35, 38 and 45-49 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), as being unpatentable over Polcyn, et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,865,258 (“*Polcyn*”) in view of Cox, et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,192,339 (“*Cox*”) and further in view of Sibal, et al., U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0182622 (“*Sibal*”).

Claim 1, as amended, recites:

A method comprising:

receiving at a server computer system a client request from a client computer device via a network;

interpreting the client request including identifying a selection of at least one of a plurality of web interaction modes, each of the plurality of web interaction modes to perform interpretation of content being transmitted between the server computer system and the client computer device, wherein two or more of the plurality of web interaction modes are used independently or concurrently to retrieve speech processing information directly from the Internet; and

identifying a web interaction mode selected by the client computer device,  
and performing speech processing based on the selected web interaction mode and the retrieved speech processing information,  
wherein performing speech processing includes  
determining an active display element that is to be focused and  
identifying the active display element with its associated identifier, wherein the active display element includes an element upon which a speech input received from a user is focused, the speech input is received via the client computer device,  
receiving an utterance from a user, via the client computer device,  
once the active display element is focused, and, if the utterance matches the speech input, transmitting the identifier to the server computer system so that speech recognition is performed,  
performing speech recognition based on a relationship between the active display element and one or more speech elements,  
wherein performing speech recognition includes retrieving a synchronization relationship between the one or more speech elements and the active display element to compose grammar of the one or more speech elements, and  
dynamically correcting the composed grammar of the one or more speech elements using a real-time speech recognition based on the synchronization relationship.  
(emphasis added)

*Polcyn* discloses “the transcription interface may monitor the transcriber’s activity and automatically adjust the presentation of data to be transcribed according to such activity” (col. 12, lines 18-21). *Polcyn* further discloses “the transcription application may determine the position of the cursor . . . [and] then identify the appropriate message segment corresponding to the transcriber’s focus” (col. 17, lines 21-25).

*Sibal* discloses “interacting with content, such as web-based markup content, using visual and voice browsers.” (abstract; emphasis added). *Sibal* further discloses “technique whereby visual browser and voice browser may be ‘synchronized’ with respect to corresponding HTML and VXML pages”. (para. 0031; emphasis added).

*Cox* discloses “managing multiple speech applications . . . based on [a] common development platform”. (abstract; emphasis added).

Claim 1, as amended, recites “wherein two or more of the plurality of web interaction modes are used independently or concurrently to retrieve speech processing information directly from the Internet; and identifying a web interaction mode selected by the client computer device, and performing speech processing based on the selected web interaction mode and the retrieved speech processing information” (emphasis added). Applicants respectfully submit that none of the cited references, individually or when combined, each or reasonably suggest retrieving speech processing information from the Internet as recited by claim 1. For example, *Cox* discloses “common environment interfaces with the speech applications, *receives information from an application information storage and a plurality of speech input sources*”. (abstract; emphasis added). Polcyn, Sibal and Cox, neither individually nor when combined, teach or reasonably suggest “wherein two or more of the plurality of web interaction modes are used independently or concurrently to retrieve speech processing information **directly from the Internet**” as recited by claim 1. Consequently, the cited references do not teach or reasonably suggest “performing speech processing based on the selected web interaction mode and the **retrieved speech processing information**” as recited by claim 1. For at least the reasons set forth above and the arguments submitted in previous responses, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejection of claim 1 and its dependent claims.

Claims 35 and 45, as amended, contain limitations similar to those of claim 1. Accordingly, for at least the same reasons as set forth above with respect of claim 1, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejection claims 35 and 45 and their dependent claims.

### **Conclusion**

In light of the foregoing, reconsideration and allowance of the claims is hereby earnestly requested.

### **Invitation for a Telephone Interview**

The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at (303) 740-1980 if there remains any issue with allowance of the case.

### **Request for an Extension of Time**

Applicant respectfully petitions for an extension of time to respond to the outstanding Office Action pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) should one be necessary. Please charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 to cover the necessary fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a) for such an extension.

### **Charge our Deposit Account**

Please charge any shortage to our Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: February 8, 2011

/Aslam A. Jaffery/

Aslam A. Jaffery

Reg. No. 51,841

1279 Oakmead Parkway  
Sunnyvale, California 94085  
(303) 740-1980